



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/733,691	12/07/2000	Jeffrey D. Carnevali	NPI-885-001	3233
	7	590 05/20/2002			
	Charles J Rupnick PO Box 46752 Seattle, WA 98146			EXAMINER	
				BAXTER, GWENDOLYN WRENN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3632	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	Office Action Summer	09/733,691	CARNEVALI, JEFFREY D.			
è	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
		Gwendolyn Baxter	3632			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she it with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2002 .				
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pr				
Disposition	closed in accordance with the practice under long of Claims	<i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
·	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	<u> </u>					
	Claim(s) <u>58 and 61</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	I) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
_ a)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(.				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for serial number 09/733,691, Universally Positionable Mounting Device filed on December 7, 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-42, 44-49, 51-57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 180,881 to Howson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,441,225 to Hall. Howson teaches a mounting device comprising a first and second coupling members and a split arm assembly. The first and second coupling members having a substantially smooth part spherical outer surface and defining a first and second loci (not numbered). First and second sockets (B) at the first and second end portions of the arm sections. A mechanical core adapted to accept a mechanical attachment (page 2, line 4+). An adjustable clamp (A,E) is disposed in conjunction with each socket and coupling member. However, Howson fails to disclose the coupling members being formed of a resilient radially compressible material.

Hall teaches coupling members formed of a resilient radially compressible material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the coupling members of a resilient compressible material in opposed of metal, since

Application/Control Number: 09/733,691

Art Unit: 3632

it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on

Page 3

the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin,

125 USPQ 416.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-37, 43, 50, and 59 are allowed.

Claims 58 and 61 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

prior art of record fails to disclose the sockets having indentations.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 6, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that Hall fails to teach any ball; but rather teaches a

ball like element 22. Applicant's attention is directed to column 4, line 15, which states element

22 being a ball and not a ball-like element as stated by applicant.

Applicant states the solid surface of the ball is necessary to that the two resilient arms can

firmly grip the ball. The intent of the ball and the arms to provide a firm grip on the ball. As

Art Unit: 3632

stated by Applicant the ball of Hall allows the ball to be fabricated with closer tolerance on its external periphery than would be possible with a solid ball. Therefore, to have substituted the ball as taught by Hall for the ball as taught by Howson for the purpose of providing a firmer grip that would not be obtainable by the use of the solid ball.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR. 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Baxter whose telephone number is (703) 308-0702. The

Application/Control Number: 09/733,691

Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. Eastern Time Zone.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3597.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

May 16, 2002